

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,625	04/02/2001	Albert S. Lee	22727-66	8907
21125	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
	RADE CENTER WEST RT BOULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2001	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ΛK			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/824,625	LEE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Peffley	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	hication. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	u. C. Luis dies schieberes is leter. In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	to the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	months after the mailing date of the final	rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	nt's Brief must be filed within the CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	e period set forth in al of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered					
(a) They raise new issues that would require ful	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15,17-19 and 46-49</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-45</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State					
10. Other:		_			
		Michael Peffley Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not deemed persuasive and the rejections are deemed tenable..